UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,242	09/28/2001	Bertram Geck	2001 P 18013 US	6613
7590 07/25/2007 Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			EXAMINER	
			LEE, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100, 1.10 0002			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/967,242	GECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN J. LEE	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	<u>oril 2007</u> .					
,	,—					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-31 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-17 and 19-31 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyaltion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 09/967,242

Art Unit: 2618

jna

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, 15-17, 19, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grube et al. (US 5,778,304) in view of Bonta et al. (US 6,097,953).

Regarding claim 1, Grube teaches that a system (Fig. 1) for restricting features (restriction services) in a wireless network (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – 67). Grube teaches that at least one base station (the wireless network includes plurality base stations as see 106-112 in Fig. 1). Grube teaches that at least one wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) wirelessly connectable to one or more base stations (106-112 in Fig. 1) and wirelessly connected to said at least one base station (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 7, where teaches mobile terminals wirelessly connectable to a plurality of base station and wirelessly connected to the home base station), each base station operative in communicating an incoming communication directed to, and an outgoing communication originated by, each wirelessly connected wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches a plurality of base stations, each base station is communicating with mobile stations, each mobile station, and having directing incoming communication

and originating outgoing communication by mobile station located within a boundary of the base station coverage area). Grube teaches that a base station rules database (database (124) in Fig. 1) comprising representations of base station rules restricting features (restricting on services) of said each wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) wirelessly connected (Fig. 1) to respective said each base station (106-112 in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches the service restricting rules database for representing the rules restricting services of each base station with each mobile station wirelessly connected to each base station). Grube teaches that any restriction for said each wirelessly connected wireless terminal is dependent on the base station rules corresponding to the respective base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 - column 3, lines 52, where teaches each base station (depend on the location) has at least one restricting service for each mobile station based on the base station rules in database, for example, restriction volume setting, prohibition of the personal call, group call, or data transmission for respective the base station), and the respective base station applies said base station rules (restriction services in the database) responsive to communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals and said respective base station and the location of each of said wirelessly connected wireless terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station performs applying the restriction services to communicate between mobile stations and the base station based on the mobile location in geographic region).

Grube does not specifically disclose the limitation "the respective base station applies said base station rules to communications responsive to the communications

between wirelessly connected wireless terminals". However, Bonta teaches the limitation "the respective base station applies said base station rules to communications responsive to the communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals" (Fig. 1, abstract, and column 2, lines 11-24, where teaches the system then applies environmental conditions rules to mobile stations, between wirelessly connected mobile terminals, which subsequently communicates with base station). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Grube system as taught by Bonta, provide the motivation to achieve avoiding a potential dropped call in wireless communication system.

Regarding **claim 6**, Grube teaches that at least one wirelessly connected wireless terminal is a wireless telephone (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 - 67, where teaches the mobile communication terminal may be wireless telephone).

Regarding **claim 7**, Grube teaches that the base station prevents at least one wireless terminal from ringing while wirelessly connected to said respective base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches each base station has at least one restricting service for each mobile station based on the base station rules in database for determining the type of service requested, for example, restriction volume setting (could be no ring in the specific area, hospital, library), prohibition of the personal call, group call, or data transmission for respective the base station).

Regarding **claim 9**, Grube and Bonta teach all the limitation, as discussed in claim 1. Furthermore, Grube further teaches that determining which one of a plurality of terminals (communication unit in Fig. 1) is associated with an incoming communication,

and whether the one of a plurality of terminals is connected to a base station (base resource center in Fig. 1) that is selectively operative in communicating incoming communications directed to the one of a plurality of terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches determining whether the communication unit (mobile station) is located within a predetermined geographic area, and determining the type of service request received for operating the restriction service for the mobile station). Grube teaches that accessing, in response to the incoming communication and in the service of the one of a plurality of terminals being connected to said base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches for accessing, in response the receiving the service request of the mobile station in plurality of mobile stations communicating with the base station), at least one database (124 in Fig. 1) to look up base station rules governing restriction (base station restriction services in particular geographic area) on the base station connected to the one of a plurality of terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the database to checks the each base station rules for service restriction within area for communicating between the base station and the mobile station), the restriction on the base station being independent of the specific identity of the one of a plurality of terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station receives the location of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code). Grube teaches that activating the one of a plurality of terminals if allowed, wherein the allowance depends at least on the restriction on the base station (Fig. 2, 3 and column 3, lines 1 – column 4, lines 21, where teaches determining the request restriction services by controller and if

the request is granted, transmitting a message to the mobile station indicating the restriction and the action is performed).

Regarding **claim 10**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Grube teaches accessing the at least one database to look up the base station rules governing restriction (base station restriction services in particular geographic area) on the one of a plurality of terminals, and wherein the allowance depends at least on the restriction on the one of a plurality of terminal (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station receives the location of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code).

Regarding **claim 11**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Grube further teaches that the allowance does not depend on the location of the at least one terminal (Fig. 2, 3 and column 3, lines 1 – column 4, lines 21, where teaches it the communication unit is not within the predefined geographic region, the particular service requested is granted).

Regarding **claim 15**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 6. Furthermore, Grube teaches that at least one wirelessly connected wireless terminal is a wireless telephone (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 - 67, where teaches the mobile communication terminal may be wireless telephone).

Regarding **claim 16**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 7. Furthermore, Grube teaches that the base station prevents at least one wireless terminal from ringing while wirelessly connected to said respective base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches each base station has at least one

restricting service for each mobile station based on the base station rules in database for determining the type of service requested, for example, restriction volume setting (could be no ring in the specific area, hospital, library), prohibition of the personal call, group call, or data transmission for respective the base station).

Regarding claim 17, Grube and Bonta teach all the limitation, as discussed in claim 1. Furthermore, Grube further teaches that accessing at least one database (124 in Fig. 1) to look up rules governing restriction (base station restriction services in particular geographic area) on a base station (base resource center in Fig. 1) in response to the base station receiving a signal from a wirelessly connected terminal associated with a request for an outgoing communication by the wirelessly connected terminal (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 - column 3, lines 65, where teaches for accessing, in response the outgoing the service request of the mobile station in plurality of mobile stations communicating with the base station), the restriction on the base station being independent of the specific identity of at least one of a plurality of wirelessly connected terminals (communication unit in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station transmits the location information of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code). Grube further teaches that selectively allowing outgoing communication from said wirelessly connected terminal response to the restriction on the base station (Fig. 2, 3 and column 3, lines 1 – column 4, lines 21, where teaches determining the request restriction services by controller and if the request is granted, transmitting a message to the mobile station indicating the restriction and the action is performed).

Application/Control Number: 09/967,242

Art Unit: 2618

Page 8

Regarding **claim 19**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Grube further teaches that the allowance on features depends at least on whether the terminal is inside a predetermined room (predetermined area, such that hospital or library) (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52).

Regarding **claim 23**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 9 and 15. Furthermore, Grube teaches that the wireless terminal is a wireless telephone in private wireless network (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 - 67, where teaches the mobile communication terminal may be wireless telephone in private wireless network).

Regarding **claim 24**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 9 and 11. Furthermore, Grube teaches that the allowance is independent of the location of the wireless network (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station wirelessly receives the location of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code for allowance to access).

Regarding claim 25, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 9 and 11. Furthermore, Grube teaches that each respective base station determines from said base station rules database whether to apply the rules for each wirelessly connected terminal responsive to wireless connection to said each respective base station, but otherwise are independent of the location of said wirelessly connected terminal (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches each base station performs applying the restriction services to communicate between mobile stations and the base station based on the mobile location in geographic region).

Application/Control Number: 09/967,242 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 26, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Grube teaches that the base station further restricts features responsive to the specific wirelessly connected wireless terminal (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches each base station has at least one restricting service for each mobile station based on the base station rules in database for determining the type of service requested, for example, restriction volume setting (could be no ring in the specific area, hospital, library), prohibition of the personal call, group call, or data transmission for respective the base station).

Regarding **claim 27**, Grube teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Grube teaches that the restriction on features includes a restriction invoked by said each base station independent of the specific identity of the wirelessly connected wireless terminal (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station transmits the location information of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code).

Regarding claim 28, Grube teaches that a system (Fig. 1) for restricting features (restriction services) in a wireless network (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – 67). Grube teaches that at least one base station (the wireless network includes plurality base stations as see 106-112 in Fig. 1). Grube teaches that at least one wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) wirelessly connectable to one or more base stations (106-112 in Fig. 1) and wirelessly connected to said at least one base station (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 7, where teaches mobile terminals wirelessly connectable to a plurality of base station and wirelessly connected to the home base

station), each base station operative in communicating an incoming communication directed to, and an outgoing communication originated by, each wirelessly connected wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches a plurality of base stations, each base station is communicating with mobile stations, each mobile station, and having directing incoming communication and originating outgoing communication by mobile station located within a boundary of the base station coverage area). Grube teaches that a base station rules database (database (124) in Fig. 1) comprising representations of base station rules restricting features (restricting on services) of said each wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) wirelessly connected (Fig. 1) to respective said each base station (106-112 in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches the service restricting rules database for representing the rules restricting services of each base station with each mobile station wirelessly connected to each base station). Grube teaches that any restriction for said each wirelessly connected wireless terminal is dependent on the base station rules corresponding to the respective base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 - column 3, lines 52, where teaches each base station (depend on the location) has at least one restricting service for each mobile station based on the base station rules in database, for example, restriction volume setting, prohibition of the personal call, group call, or data transmission for respective the base station), and the respective base station applies said base station rules (restriction services in the database) responsive to communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals and said respective base station and the location of each of said wirelessly connected wireless terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2,

Application/Control Number: 09/967,242 Page 11

Art Unit: 2618

lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station performs applying the restriction services to communicate between mobile stations and the base station based on the mobile location in geographic region).

Grube does not specifically disclose the limitation "the respective base station applies said base station rules to communications responsive to the communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals". However, Bonta teaches the limitation "the respective base station applies said base station rules to communications responsive to the communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals" (Fig. 1, abstract, and column 2, lines 11 - 24, where teaches the system then applies environmental conditions rules to mobile stations, between wirelessly connected mobile terminals, which subsequently communicates with base station). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Grube system as taught by Bonta, provide the motivation to achieve avoiding a potential dropped call in wireless communication system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3, 5, 12-14, 20-22, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grube in view of Bonta and in further view of Andersson (US 6,230,017).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 12, 13, 20, and 21, Grube and Bonta do not specifically teach the limitation "the base station restricts features depending at least on the time of day and at least on the priority of said each wireless terminal, and at least on whether a communication is designated as an emergency". However, Andersson teaches the limitation "the base station restricts features depending at least on the time of day (Fig. 1, 2 and column 7, lines 8 – column 8, lines 17) and at least on the priority of said each wireless terminal (Fig. 1, 4 and column 10, lines 55 – column 11, lines 21), and at least on whether a communication is designated as an emergency (Fig. 1, 2 and column 9, lines 25 – 67)" (where teaches the geographical restriction is time dependent, the restriction depends on the priority of said each wireless terminal, and the restriction depends on emergency call). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Grube and Bonta systems as taught by Andersson, provide the motivation to achieve efficient variety restriction services for mobile users in mobile communication system.

Regarding claims 14 and 22, Grube and Bonta teach all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. However, Grube and Bonta do not specifically teach the limitation "the allowance on features depends at least on the format of communication". However, Andersson teaches the limitation "the allowance on features depends at least on the format of communication" (Fig. 2 and column 7, lines 20 – 50, where teaches the

grant on the restriction for a record generally formatted in accordance with agreement). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Grube and Bonta systems as taught by Andersson, provide the motivation to achieve efficient variety restriction services for mobile users' convenient in mobile communication system.

Regarding claim 29, Grube, Bonta, and Andersson teach all the limitation, as discussed in claims 5 and 9. Furthermore, Grube teaches that a system (Fig. 1) for restricting features (restriction services) in a wireless network (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – 67). Grube teaches that at least one base station (the wireless network includes plurality base stations as see 106-112 in Fig. 1). Grube teaches that at least one wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) wirelessly connectable to one or more base stations (106-112 in Fig. 1) and wirelessly connected to said at least one base station (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 7, where teaches mobile terminals wirelessly connectable to a plurality of base station and wirelessly connected to the home base station), each base station operative in communicating an incoming communication directed to, and an outgoing communication originated by, each wirelessly connected wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches a plurality of base stations, each base station is communicating with mobile stations, each mobile station, and having directing incoming communication and originating outgoing communication by mobile station located within a boundary of the base station coverage area). Grube teaches that a base station rules database (database (124) in Fig. 1) comprising representations of base station rules restricting features

(restricting on services) of said each wireless terminal (communication unit in Fig. 1) wirelessly connected (Fig. 1) to respective said each base station (106-112 in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 52, where teaches the service restricting rules database for representing the rules restricting services of each base station with each mobile station wirelessly connected to each base station). Grube teaches that any restriction for said each wirelessly connected wireless terminal is dependent on the base station rules corresponding to the respective base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 - column 3, lines 52, where teaches each base station (depend on the location) has at least one restricting service for each mobile station based on the base station rules in database, for example, restriction volume setting, prohibition of the personal call, group call, or data transmission for respective the base station), and the respective base station applies said base station rules (restriction services in the database) responsive to communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals and said respective base station and the location of each of said wirelessly connected wireless terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station performs applying the restriction services to communicate between mobile stations and the base station based on the mobile location in geographic region).

Grube does not specifically disclose the limitation "the respective base station applies said base station rules to communications responsive to the communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals". However, Bonta teaches the limitation "the respective base station applies said base station rules to communications responsive to the communications between wirelessly connected wireless terminals" (Fig. 1,

abstract, and column 2, lines 11 - 24, where teaches the system then applies environmental conditions rules to mobile stations, between wirelessly connected mobile terminals, which subsequently communicates with base station). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Grube system as taught by Bonta, provide the motivation to achieve avoiding a potential dropped call in wireless communication system.

Regarding claim 30, Grube and Andersson teach all the limitation, as discussed in claims 5 and 9. Furthermore, Grube further teaches that determining which one of a plurality of terminals (communication unit in Fig. 1) is associated with an incoming communication, and whether the one of a plurality of terminals is connected to a base station (base resource center in Fig. 1) that is selectively operative in communicating incoming communications directed to the one of a plurality of terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches determining whether the communication unit (mobile station) is located within a predetermined geographic area, and determining the type of service request received for operating the restriction service for the mobile station). Grube teaches that accessing, in response to the incoming communication and in the service of the one of a plurality of terminals being connected to said base station (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches for accessing, in response the receiving the service request of the mobile station in plurality of mobile stations communicating with the base station), at least one database (124 in Fig. 1) to look up base station rules governing restriction (base station restriction services in particular geographic area) on the base station connected to the one of a

plurality of terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the database to checks the each base station rules for service restriction within area for communicating between the base station and the mobile station), the restriction on the base station being independent of the specific identity of the one of a plurality of terminals (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches the base station receives the location of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code). Grube teaches that activating the one of a plurality of terminals if allowed, wherein the allowance depends at least on the restriction on the base station (Fig. 2, 3 and column 3, lines 1 – column 4, lines 21, where teaches determining the request restriction services by controller and if the request is granted, transmitting a message to the mobile station indicating the restriction and the action is performed).

Regarding **claim 31**, Grube and Andersson teach all the limitation, as discussed in claims 5 and 9. Furthermore, Grube further teaches that accessing at least one database (124 in Fig. 1) to look up rules governing restriction (base station restriction services in particular geographic area) on a base station (base resource center in Fig. 1) in response to the base station receiving a signal from a wirelessly connected terminal associated with a request for an outgoing communication by the wirelessly connected terminal (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches for accessing, in response the outgoing the service request of the mobile station in plurality of mobile stations communicating with the base station), the restriction on the base station being independent of the specific identity of at least one of a plurality of wirelessly connected terminals (communication unit in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 13 – column 3,

lines 65, where teaches the base station transmits the location information of the particular communication unit and unit's identification code). Grube further teaches that selectively allowing outgoing communication from said wirelessly connected terminal response to the restriction on the base station (Fig. 2, 3 and column 3, lines 1 – column 4, lines 21, where teaches determining the request restriction services by controller and if the request is granted, transmitting a message to the mobile station indicating the restriction and the action is performed).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose the limitation "the base station restricts features depending at least on whether a communication is incoming or outgoing and the base station selectively prevents incoming communications from reaching ones of said wirelessly connected wireless terminals while allowing outgoing communications, and accessing the at least one database to look up the base station rules governing restriction on the one of a plurality of terminals, and wherein the allowance depends at least on the restriction on the one of a plurality of terminal" as specified the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Poppe et al. (US 2002/0003793) discloses Method to Set up a Voice Over Internet Protocol Communication.

Aoki et al. (US 2004/0121769) discloses Mobile Radio and Method of Registering Position Therein.

"Information regarding...Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system... at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313

or faxed (571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: (703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to USPTO Headquarters, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7880**. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Edward Urban, can be reached on (571) 272-7899. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L July 19, 2007

John J Lee

- 100